EXHIBIT F

- 1					
1	Timothy R. Titolo, Esq.				
2	Nevada Bar No. 003617 TITOLO LAW OFFICE				
3	9812 Guiding Light Ave.				
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89149 (702) 869-5100				
4	tim@titololaw.com				
5	John D. McKay, Esq.				
6	Admitted pro hac vice				
7	PARK AVENUE LAW LLC 201 Spear Street, Suite 1100				
8	San Francisco, CA 94105				
	(434) 531-9569				
9	johndmckayatty@gmail.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
10	ANALTED OF	A TELS DISTRICT COURT			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
12					
13	PETER DELVECCHIA, et al.,) Case No: 2:19-CV-01322-KJD-DJA			
14	Plaintiffs,) PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSES AND			
	N/G	OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT FRONTIER'S SECOND SET OF			
15	VS.) REQUESTS TO PRODUCE			
16	EDONITIED AIDI INIEC INIC41)			
17	FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC., et al., Defendants.	<i>)</i>)			
18		ý.			
19	Plaintiffs, by counsel, hereby respond to the Second Set of Requests to Produce propounded by				
20					
21	Defendant Frontier Airlines, Inc. ("Frontier") pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 34 as follows:				
	OBJECTION TO THE INSTRUCTIONS				
22	Plaintiffs object to the instructions preceding the Requests to Produce. They are boilerplate				
23					
24	instructions that attempt to alter Plaintiff's responsibilities under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure				
25	and/or state rules that Frontier itself has repeatedly refused to follow in this litigation. Plaintiffs will				
26	respond in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and do not agree to comply with any				
27	additional terms.				
28					
- [I				

1

2

45

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

24

2526

27

28

OBJECTION TO THE DEFINITIONS

Plaintiffs object to Definitions 1, 2, and 7, as they attempt to ascribe meanings to ordinary words that differ from common usage. Plaintiffs will assume any word used in the Interrogatories carries only its ordinary dictionary meaning for the specific context in which it is used. Plaintiffs do not agree to be bound by any artificially ascribed different or expanded meanings contained in the Definitions.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO THE REQUESTS

- 1. All documents, including, but not limited to, correspondence, emails with all attachments [sic], letters [sic], and facsimile transmissions [sic] received by you pursuant to a subpoena or FOIA [sic] issued by you to third-parties [sic]. This Request includes all "no record statements" and Declarations and Certifications of Authenticity of Records received in response to any request for records.
- **OBJECTION:** "[Plaintiffs] object[] to Request for Production No. 1 on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks information that is not relevant to any party's claim or defense and/or not proportional to the needs of the case. [Plaintiffs] further object on the grounds that producing such information may violate privacy rights held by [certain] individual[s]." Defendant Frontier Airlines, Inc.'s Responses to Requests for Production dated November 18, 2019 (modified).
- 2. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 1 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all invoices and other documents that show that you incurred medical expenses related to your claims set forth in the Third Amended Complaint.
- **RESPONSE:** Not applicable. Plaintiff A.D. did not deny the referenced Request to Admit.
- 3. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 2 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all documents that show that you sustained economic damages related to your claims set forth in the Third Amended Complaint.
- **RESPONSE:** Not applicable. Plaintiff A.D. did not deny the referenced Request to Admit.
- 4. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 3 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all documents that show that you have been treated by a medical or mental health professional for severe or extreme emotional distress caused by the Incident.
- **RESPONSE:** Not applicable. The referenced Request to Admit was admitted.
- 5. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 4 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all documents that show that you were diagnosed as having suffered a concussion as a result of the Incident.
- **RESPONSE:** Not applicable. Plaintiff A.D. did not deny the referenced Request to Admit (nor has he claimed that he suffered a concussion).

1					
2	6. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 5 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all document that show that you received treatment from a medical or mental health professional related to the				
4	Incident.				
5	RESPONSE: Not applicable. Plaintiff A.D. did not deny the referenced Request to Admit.				
6	7. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 6 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all documents that show that you were diagnosed with a mental disorder related to the Incident.				
7 8	RESPONSE: Not applicable. Plaintiff A.D. did not deny the referenced Request to Admit.				
9	8. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 7 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all documents that demonstrate, show [sic], establish [sic], relate to or address [sic] passengers having heard the allegedly defamatory statements made by Defendant Warren during the Subject Flight or on the Subject Aircraft.				
11	RESPONSE: Not applicable. Plaintiff A.D. did not deny the referenced Request to Admit.				
12					
13 14	9. If you denied Frontier's Request to Admit No. 8 to Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia, produce all documents that demonstrate, show [sic], establish [sic], relate to or address [sic] passengers having witnessed or observed [sic] Defendant Warren commit a battery upon Plaintiff Peter DelVecchia during the Subject				
15	Flight.				
16	RESPONSE: Not applicable. Plaintiff A.D. did not deny the referenced Request to Admit.				
17					
18	DATED this 6 th day of July, 2022.				
19					
20	-AMM 1110-				
21	Attorney for Plaintiffs Peter DelVecchia And A.D., a Minor				
22					
23	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>				
24	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 6, 2022, pursuant to prior agreement of counsel permitting				
25	electronic service by email, I served the foregoing responses and objections on counsel for Defendants				
26	by email addressed to the following persons:				
27	Brian T. Maye, Esq.				
28	Lawrence S. Gosewisch, Esq.				

PETER DELVECCHIA'S RESPONSES & OBJECTIONS TO 2d SET OF RTPs Page 3 of 4 $\,$

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Matthew D. Martin, Esq.
ADLER MURPHY & McQUILLEN LLP
20 South Clark Street, Suite 2500
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Email: bmaye@amm-law.com
lgosewisch@amm-law.com
mmartin@amm-law.com

Charles A. Michalek, Esq.
ROGERS, MASTRANGELO, CARVALHO & MITCHELL
700 South Third Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Email: cmichalek@rmcmlaw.com